

Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature in de Sitter space

Henrique Fernandes de Lima^{*,1}

Departamento de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, 58109-970, Campina Grande - Paraíba, Brazil

Received 9 March 2006; accepted 25 July 2006

Available online 18 September 2006

Abstract

In this paper we develop Minkowski-type formulae for compact spacelike immersed hypersurfaces with boundary and having some constant higher order mean curvature in de Sitter space \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} . We apply them to establish a relation between the mean curvature and the geometry of the boundary, when it is a geodesic sphere contained into a horizontal hyperplane of the *steady state space* $\mathcal{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

JGP SC: Differential geometry; General relativity

MSC: primary 53C42; secondary 53B30; 53C50

Keywords: De Sitter space; Steady state space; Spacelike hypersurfaces; Higher order mean curvature; Minkowski-type formulae

1. Introduction

The interest in the study of spacelike hypersurfaces immersed in spacetimes is motivated by their nice Bernstein-type properties. As for the case of de Sitter space, Goddard [5] conjectured that every complete spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature H in de Sitter space \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} should be totally umbilical. Although the conjecture turned out to be false in its original statement, it motivated a great deal of work of several authors trying to find a positive answer to the conjecture under appropriate additional hypotheses. For instance, in [1] Akutagawa showed that Goddard's conjecture is true when $0 \leq H^2 \leq 1$ in the case $n = 2$, and when $0 \leq H^2 < 4(n - 1)/n^2$ in the case $n \geq 3$. Later, Montiel [8] solved Goddard's problem in the compact case proving that the only closed spacelike hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} with constant mean curvature are the totally umbilical hypersurfaces.

In this paper, following the ideas of Alías and Malacarne [3], we develop Minkowski-type formulae for compact spacelike hypersurfaces M^n with boundary ∂M and with constant higher order mean curvature immersed in de Sitter

* Tel.: +55 085 40089889; fax: +55 085 40089889.

E-mail address: henrique@dme.ufcg.edu.br.

¹ Current address: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Ceará, 60455-760, Fortaleza - Ceará, Brazil.

space \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} (see Proposition 1). Afterwards, considering the half \mathcal{H}^{n+1} of the de Sitter space which models the so-called *steady state space*, we use these formulae to obtain our main result (Theorem 1).

For that, let a be a non-zero null vector in the past half of the null cone in the Lorentz–Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^{n+2} , which determines the foliation of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} by the horizontal hyperplanes $L^n(\tau) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}; \langle x, a \rangle = \tau\}$, $\tau \in]0, +\infty[$, and let $b \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ be any fixed vector such that $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$. We prove the result below.

Theorem 1. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface bounded by an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional embedded submanifold $\Sigma = x(\partial M)$, and assume that Σ is contained in a horizontal hyperplane $L^n(\tau)$, for a certain $\tau > 0$. Let $Y_{a,b}$ be the Killing field $\frac{1}{\langle a,b \rangle}(\langle b, \cdot \rangle a - \langle a, \cdot \rangle b)$ on \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} . If the r -mean curvature H_r is constant for some r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, then*

$$\oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1}v, Y_{a,b} \rangle dS = -r \binom{n}{r} H_r \text{vol}(\Omega),$$

where $T_{r-1} : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$ is the $(r - 1)$ -Newton transformation associated with the second fundamental form of x , and Ω is the domain in $L^n(\tau)$ bounded by Σ .

Observe that the left hand side in the above formula represents the $(r - 1)$ -flux of the Killing field $Y_{a,b}$ on the hypersurface M , and thus Theorem 1 states that this flux does not depend on M , but only on the value of H_r and ∂M .

As an application of this result, and using an estimate of Montiel [9], we establish the following relation between the mean curvature and the geometry of the boundary.

Theorem 2. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface M^n with non-empty boundary ∂M in the steady state space. Suppose that M^n has constant mean curvature $H > 1$ with respect to the past-directed unit normal N and that $\partial M = S^{n-1}(b, \rho)$ is the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional geodesic sphere with center b and radius ρ into a horizontal hyperplane $L^n(\tau)$, for a certain $\tau > 0$. Then*

$$\rho H - \left| 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right| \sqrt{H^2 - 1} \leq 1.$$

As a consequence of this last result, we conclude that

There exists no compact spacelike hypersurface in the steady state space \mathcal{H}^{n+1} with constant mean curvature $H > 1$ and spherical boundary contained in some horizontal hyperplane with radius $\sqrt{5} - 1 \leq \rho \leq 2$.

From a physical point of view, the motivation for working with the spacetime \mathcal{H}^{n+1} is that, in the steady state model of the universe, matter is supposed to move along geodesics normal to the hypersurfaces $L^n(\tau)$. Then, they represent constant time slices and, since all of them are isometric to a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , in this cosmological setting the geometry of the spatial sections remains unchanged (cf. [6]).

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{L}^{n+2} denote the $(n + 2)$ -dimensional Lorentz–Minkowski space ($n \geq 2$), that is, the real vector space \mathbb{R}^{n+2} endowed with the Lorentz metric defined by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} v_i w_i - v_{n+2} w_{n+2},$$

for all $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. We define the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional de Sitter space \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} as the following hyperquadric of \mathbb{L}^{n+2} :

$$\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} = \{p \in L^{n+2}; \langle p, p \rangle = 1\}.$$

The induced metric from \langle, \rangle makes \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} into a Lorentz manifold with constant sectional curvature one. Moreover, if $p \in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$, we can put

$$T_p(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}) = \{v \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}; \langle v, p \rangle = 0\}.$$

A smooth immersion $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ of an n -dimensional connected manifold M^n is said to be a spacelike hypersurface if the induced metric via x is a Riemannian metric on M^n , which, as usual, is also denoted by \langle, \rangle .

Observe that $e_{n+2} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is a unit timelike vector field globally defined on \mathbb{L}^{n+2} , which determines a time-orientation on \mathbb{L}^{n+2} . Thus we can choose a unique timelike unit normal field N on M^n which is past-directed on \mathbb{L}^{n+2} (i.e., $\langle N, e_{n+2} \rangle > 0$), and hence we may assume that M^n is oriented by N .

Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ be an immersed spacelike hypersurface in de Sitter \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} , and let N be its past-directed timelike normal field. In order to set up the notation, we will denote by $\nabla^\circ, \bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connections of $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}, \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$ and M^n , respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae for M^n in $\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V^\circ W &= \bar{\nabla}_V W - \langle V, W \rangle x \\ &= \nabla_V W - \langle AV, W \rangle N - \langle V, W \rangle x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A(V) = -\nabla_V^\circ N = -\bar{\nabla}_V N,$$

for all tangent vector fields $V, W \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, where A stands for the shape operator of M^n in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} associated with N .

Associated with the shape operator of M there are n algebraic invariants, which are the elementary symmetric functions σ_r of its principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$, given by

$$\sigma_r(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_r}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n.$$

The r -mean curvature H_r of the spacelike hypersurface M is then defined by

$$\binom{n}{r} H_r = (-1)^r \sigma_r(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = \sigma_r(-\kappa_1, \dots, -\kappa_n).$$

In particular, when $r = 1$,

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i = -\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(A) = H$$

is the mean curvature of M , which is the main extrinsic curvature of the hypersurface. The choice of the sign $(-1)^r$ in our definition of H_r is motivated by the fact that in that case the mean curvature vector is given by $\vec{H} = HN$. Therefore, $H(p) > 0$ at a point $p \in M$ if and only if $\vec{H}(p)$ is in the same time-orientation as $N(p)$.

Finally, we recall that a tangent vector field $Y \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1})$ is said to be conformal if the Lie derivative of the Lorentzian metric \langle, \rangle with respect to Y satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \langle, \rangle = 2\phi \langle, \rangle,$$

for a certain smooth function $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1})$. In other words,

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_V Y, W \rangle + \langle V, \bar{\nabla}_W Y \rangle = 2\phi \langle V, W \rangle,$$

for all tangent vector fields $V, W \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1})$. When $\phi \equiv 0$, Y is said to be a Killing vector field.

Example 1. Given any fixed vectors a and b of the Lorentz–Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^{n+2} and a non-zero constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the vector field

$$Y = k(\langle b, \cdot \rangle a - \langle a, \cdot \rangle b).$$

Observe that $\langle Y, x \rangle = 0$, that is, geometrically $Y(x)$ determines an orthogonal direction to the position vector x on the subspace spanned by a and b . Moreover, we easily verify that

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_V Y, W \rangle + \langle V, \bar{\nabla}_W Y \rangle = 0,$$

for all tangent vector fields $V, W \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1})$. Therefore, Y is a Killing vector field globally defined on de Sitter space.

3. The Newton transformations

In this section, we will introduce the corresponding Newton transformations

$$T_r : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n,$$

which arise from the shape operator A . These Newton transformations will be used in the next section to derive our Minkowski-type formulae in de Sitter space. According to our definition of the r -mean curvatures, the Newton transformations are given by

$$T_r = \binom{n}{r} H_r I + \binom{n}{r-1} H_{r-1} A + \dots + \binom{n}{1} H_1 A^{r-1} + A^r,$$

where I denotes the identity in $\mathcal{X}(M)$, or, inductively,

$$T_0 = I \quad \text{and} \quad T_r = \binom{n}{r} H_r I + A T_{r-1}.$$

Observe that the characteristic polynomial of A can be written in terms of the H_r as

$$\det(tI - A) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} H_r t^{n-r},$$

where $H_0 = 1$. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, this implies that $T_n = 0$.

Besides, we have the following properties of T_r (cf. [2]).

- (1) If $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M which diagonalizes A , i.e., $AE_i = \kappa_i E_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, then it also diagonalizes each T_r , and $T_r E_i = \lambda_{i,r} E_i$ with

$$\lambda_{i,r} = (-1)^r \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r, i_j \neq i} \kappa_{i_1} \dots \kappa_{i_r} = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r, i_j \neq i} (-\kappa_{i_1}) \dots (-\kappa_{i_r}).$$

- (2) For each $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$,

$$\text{tr}(T_r) = (r + 1) \binom{n}{r + 1} H_r$$

and

$$\text{tr}(AT_r) = -(r + 1) \binom{n}{r + 1} H_{r+1}.$$

- (3) For every $V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and for each $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$,

$$\text{tr}(T_r \nabla_V A) = - \binom{n}{r + 1} \langle \nabla H_{r+1}, V \rangle.$$

- (4) Since \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} has constant sectional curvature, the Newton transformations T_r are divergence free, that is,

$$\text{div}_M(T_r) = \text{tr}(V \rightarrow (\nabla_V T_r)V) = 0.$$

4. Minkowski-type formulae in de Sitter space

In what follows, $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ will be an immersed compact spacelike hypersurface with boundary ∂M and we will consider M^n oriented by a unit past-directed timelike normal vector field N . Furthermore, $\nu \in T_p M$ is the outward pointing unit conormal vector along ∂M , dM stands for the n -dimensional volume element of M with respect to the induced metric and the chosen orientation, and dS is the induced $(n - 1)$ -dimensional area element on ∂M .

For fixed vectors a and b of the Lorentz–Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^{n+2} such that $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$ we will consider the particular Killing vector field $Y_{a,b} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1})$ defined by (see Example 1)

$$Y_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\langle a, b \rangle} (\langle b, \cdot \rangle a - \langle a, \cdot \rangle b).$$

Proposition 1 (Minkowski-Type Formulae). Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface with boundary ∂M and let Y be a Killing field in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} . If the r -mean curvature H_r of M^n is constant for some r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, then

- (i) $\oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1} \nu, Y \rangle dS = r \binom{n}{r} H_r \int_M \langle Y, N \rangle dM$;
- (ii) $\oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1} \nu, Y_{a,b} \rangle dS = \binom{n-1}{r-1} H_r \frac{1}{\langle a,b \rangle} \oint_{\partial M} \det(x, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, a, b) dS$,
 where $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a unit frame tangent to ∂M .

Proof. (i) Denoting by $Y^T \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ the tangential component of Y and using that $\nabla_V T_r$ is self-adjoint for any $V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and that T_r are divergence free, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_M(T_r Y^T) &= \langle \operatorname{div}_M(T_r), Y \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{E_i} Y^T, T_r E_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{E_i} Y^T, T_r E_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M^n . Since Y is a Killing vector field, by taking the covariant derivative of $Y = Y^T - \langle Y, N \rangle N$ and using the Gauss and Weingarten formulae, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} (\langle \nabla_V Y^T, W \rangle + \langle V, \nabla_W Y^T \rangle) = -\langle Y, N \rangle \langle AV, W \rangle,$$

for tangent vector fields $V, W \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Let us choose $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ a local orthonormal frame on M diagonalizing A . Then we have

$$\langle \nabla_{E_i} Y^T, T_r E_i \rangle = \lambda_{i,r} \langle \nabla_{E_i} Y^T, E_i \rangle = \langle E_i, \nabla_{T_r E_i} Y^T \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle \nabla_{E_i} Y^T, T_r E_i \rangle = -\langle Y, N \rangle \langle AT_r E_i, E_i \rangle.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_M(T_r Y^T) &= -\langle Y, N \rangle \operatorname{tr}(AT_r) \\ &= (r + 1) \binom{n}{r + 1} \langle Y, N \rangle H_{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the divergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1} \nu, Y \rangle dS &= \int_M \operatorname{div}_M(T_{r-1} Y^T) dM \\ &= r \binom{n}{r} \int_M H_r \langle Y, N \rangle dM. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\theta_{a,b}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) = \det(x, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, a, b)$, which is an $(n - 1)$ -form defined in M^n . From the Gauss and Weingarten formulae, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z \theta_{a,b})(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) &= Z(\theta_{a,b}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_{a,b}(X_1, \dots, \nabla_Z X_i, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \det(Z, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, a, b) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle AX_i, Z \rangle \det(x, \dots, N_i, \dots, a, b), \end{aligned}$$

for all tangent vector fields $Z, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Thus,

$$d\theta_{a,b}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (\nabla_{X_i} \theta_{a,b})(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \det (X_i, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, a, b) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n-1} \langle AX_j, X_i \rangle \det (x, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, N, \dots, a, b) \\
 &= -n \det (X_1, \dots, X_n, a, b),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M^n that diagonalizes the shape operator A . Then, using the Gauss formula for the vectors a and b , we conclude that

$$d\theta_{a,b} = n(\langle a, N \rangle \langle b, x \rangle - \langle a, x \rangle \langle b, N \rangle) dM.$$

Therefore, from item (i) applied to the Killing vector field $Y_{a,b}$, and using the Stokes theorem, our result follows. \square

Remark 1. Alías and Malacarne [3] obtained the integral formulae of the item (i) of Proposition 1 in the Lorentz–Minkowski space, while Alías, Brasil and Colares [2] obtained them in a conformally stationary spacetime, and considering the compact spacelike hypersurface M^n without boundary. The item (ii) of Proposition 1 reproduces in de Sitter space (and in a more general form) the flux formula of Alías and Pastor [4], which was used by López [7] to obtain an estimate for the height of compact spacelike surfaces with constant mean curvature in the three-dimensional Lorentz–Minkowski space \mathbb{L}^3 .

5. The steady state space \mathcal{H}^{n+1}

Let $a \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ be a non-zero null vector in the past half of the null cone (with vertex in the origin), that is, $\langle a, a \rangle = 0$ and $\langle a, e_{n+2} \rangle > 0$, where $e_{n+2} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Then the open region of the de Sitter space \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} given by

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}; \langle x, a \rangle > 0\}$$

is the so-called *steady state space* (cf. [9]).

Observe that \mathcal{H}^{n+1} is extendable and, so, non-complete, being only half a de Sitter space. Its boundary, as a subset of \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1} , is the null hypersurface

$$\{x \in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}; \langle x, a \rangle = 0\},$$

whose topology is that of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (cf. also [6], p. 126).

Now, we shall consider in \mathcal{H}^{n+1} the timelike field

$$\mathcal{K} = a - \langle x, a \rangle x.$$

We easily see that

$$\bar{\nabla}_V \mathcal{K} = -\langle x, a \rangle V, \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}^{n+1}),$$

that is, \mathcal{K} is a closed and conformal field on \mathcal{H}^{n+1} . Then (cf. [10], Proposition 1), we have that the n -dimensional distribution \mathcal{D} defined on \mathcal{H}^{n+1} by

$$p \in \mathcal{H}^{n+1} \mapsto \mathcal{D}(p) = \{v \in T_p \mathcal{H}^{n+1}; \langle \mathcal{K}(p), v \rangle = 0\}$$

determines a codimension one spacelike foliation $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ which is oriented by \mathcal{K} . Moreover (cf. [8], Example 1), the leaves of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ are horizontal hyperplanes

$$L^n(\tau) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}; \langle x, a \rangle = \tau\}, \quad \tau \in]0, +\infty[,$$

which are totally umbilical hypersurfaces of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} isometric to the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and having constant mean curvature one with respect to the unit past-directed normal fields

$$N_\tau(x) = \frac{1}{\tau} a - x, \quad x \in L^n(\tau).$$

Finally, we note that the hypersurfaces $L^n(\tau)$ approach the boundary of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} when τ tends to zero and that when τ tends to $+\infty$ they approach the spacelike future infinity for timelike and null lines of de Sitter space.

We note that **Theorem 1** reproduces in the steady state space \mathcal{H}^{n+1} a corresponding integral formula in the Lorentz–Minkowski space obtained by Alías and Malacarne (cf. [3], Theorem 3). As usual, if Σ is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional closed submanifold in $L^n(\tau) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$, a spacelike hypersurface $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ is said to be a hypersurface *with boundary* Σ if the restriction of the immersion x to the boundary ∂M is a diffeomorphism onto Σ . In this case, we identify $\partial M = \Sigma$. We now proceed to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first note that, for an adequate choice of the orientations on M and on Ω , we have that $M \cup \Omega$ is an n -cycle of \mathcal{H}^{n+1} . Thus, since \mathcal{H}^{n+1} is simply connected, from the Alexander duality theorem, we have that $M \cup \Omega = \partial D$, where D is a compact oriented domain immersed in $\mathcal{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}$ (more precisely, we may choose the orientations of M and Ω in such a way that both of them must be outward pointing vectors in relation to ∂D).

On the other hand, since $Y_{a,b}$ is a Killing vector field in de Sitter space,

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_V Y_{a,b}, V \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}),$$

from which it follows that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}}(Y_{a,b}) = 0.$$

In particular, this is true on \mathcal{H}^{n+1} . Thus, from the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\partial D} \langle Y_{a,b}, \tilde{\nu} \rangle dS = \int_D \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{S}_1^{n+1}}(Y_{a,b}) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\nu} \in T_x D$ denotes the outward pointing unit conormal vector along ∂D and dS is the induced n -dimensional area element of ∂D . Then, since N and N_τ are in the same half of the null cone, we obtain that

$$\int_M \langle Y_{a,b}, N \rangle dM - \int_\Omega \langle Y_{a,b}, N_\tau \rangle d\Omega = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle Y_{a,b}, N \rangle dM &= \int_\Omega \langle Y_{a,b}, N_\tau \rangle d\Omega \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\langle a, b \rangle} (\langle b, x \rangle \langle a, N_\tau \rangle - \langle a, x \rangle \langle b, N_\tau \rangle) d\Omega \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\langle a, b \rangle} (-\tau \langle b, x \rangle - \tau (\frac{1}{\tau} \langle a, b \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle)) d\Omega \\ &= -\operatorname{vol}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from item (i) of **Proposition 1**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1} v, Y_{a,b} \rangle dS &= r \binom{n}{r} H_r \int_M \langle Y_{a,b}, N \rangle dM \\ &= -r \binom{n}{r} H_r \operatorname{vol}(\Omega). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Observe that in the context of Lorentzian warped products, setting $\tau = \exp(t)$, we can consider \mathcal{H}^{n+1} as $-\mathbb{R} \times_{\exp(t)} \mathbb{R}^n$, which corresponds to the model for the *steady state* of the universe proposed by Bondi, Gold and Hoyle (cf. [6], p. 126). In this model, since \mathcal{K} is past-directed, we have that

$$\mathcal{K}(t, p) = -\exp(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)_{(t,p)}.$$

As a consequence of **Theorem 1**, considering the warped product model $-\mathbb{R} \times_{\exp(t)} \mathbb{R}^n$ for \mathcal{H}^{n+1} , we have the following results.

Corollary 1. Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface bounded by an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional embedded submanifold $\Sigma = x(\partial M)$, and assume that Σ is contained in a horizontal hyperplane $L^n(\tau)$, for a certain $\tau > 0$. Suppose that the r -mean curvature H_r is constant for some r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, and that $b \in L^n(\tau)$. Then

$$\oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1}v, Y_{a,b} \rangle dS = -r \binom{n}{r} H_r \exp(nt) \text{vol}(\varphi_t(\Omega)),$$

where $t = \ln(\tau)$, φ is the flow of \mathcal{K} and Ω is the domain in $L^n(\tau)$ bounded by Σ .

Corollary 2. Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface bounded by an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional embedded submanifold $\Sigma = x(\partial M)$, and assume that Σ is contained in a horizontal hyperplane $L^n(\tau)$, for a certain $\tau > 0$. Suppose that the r -mean curvature H_r is constant for some r , $1 \leq r \leq n$, and that $b \in L^n(\tau)$. Then

$$\oint_{\partial M} \langle T_{r-1}v, Y_{a,b} \rangle dS = \frac{r}{(n + 1)} \binom{n}{r} H_r \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(\varphi_t(\Omega)) \Big|_{t=0},$$

where φ is the flow of \mathcal{K} and Ω is the domain in $L^n(\tau)$ bounded by Σ .

Proof. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let D_t be the domain of φ_t . Then, Ω is contained in D_t and

$$\text{vol}(\varphi_t(\Omega)) = \int_{\varphi_t(\Omega)} d\Omega_t = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t^*(d\Omega_t),$$

where $d\Omega_t$ stands for the n -dimensional volume element of $\varphi_t(\Omega)$ with respect to the induced metric.

Because the integrand is a smooth function of t , we can differentiate this expression with respect to t by differentiating under the integral sign. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(\varphi_t(\Omega)) \Big|_{t=t_0} &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t^*(d\Omega_t)) \Big|_{t=t_0} = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{t_0}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} d\Omega_{t_0}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{t_0}^*(\text{div} \mathcal{K} d\Omega_{t_0}) = \int_{\varphi_{t_0}(\Omega)} \text{div} \mathcal{K} d\Omega_{t_0} \\ &= -(n + 1) \exp(t_0) \text{vol}(\varphi_{t_0}(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, taking $t_0 = 0$, we have

$$\text{vol}(\Omega) = \text{vol}(\varphi_0(\Omega)) = -\frac{1}{(n + 1)} \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(\varphi_t(\Omega)) \Big|_{t=0},$$

which, from [Theorem 1](#), finishes the proof. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 2

It is straightforward to check that

$$\partial M = S^{n-1}(b, \rho) = \{x \in L^n(\tau); \langle x - b, x - b \rangle = \rho^2\}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$\partial M = S^{n-1}(b, \rho) = \left\{ x \in L^n(\tau); \langle x, b \rangle = 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right\},$$

where \langle , \rangle denotes the induced metric via the inclusion $L^n(\tau) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$. Thus, from [Theorem 1](#) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} nH \text{vol}(B^n(\rho)) &\leq \oint_{\partial M} |\langle v, Y_{a,b} \rangle| dS \\ &= \oint_{\partial M} \left| \frac{1}{\langle a, b \rangle} (\langle b, x \rangle \langle a, v \rangle - \langle a, x \rangle \langle b, v \rangle) \right| dS \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \oint_{\partial M} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \langle a, v \rangle - \langle b, v \rangle \right| dS \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \left| 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right| \sup_{\partial M} |\langle a, v \rangle| + 1 \right) \text{area}(S^{n-1}(\rho)) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \left| 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right| \sup_{\partial M} |\langle a, v \rangle| + 1 \right) \frac{n \text{vol}(B^n(\rho))}{\rho}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\langle a, a \rangle = 0$ and $\langle a, v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in T_x(L^n(\tau))$, we have that

$$\langle a, v \rangle^2 = \langle a, N \rangle^2 - \langle a, x \rangle^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\rho H \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left| 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right| \sqrt{\sup_{\partial M} \langle a, N \rangle^2 - \tau^2} + 1.$$

Finally, since we are supposing that $H > 1$, we can use the estimate (cf. [9], Theorem 7)

$$-\tau H \leq \langle a, N \rangle < 0,$$

to conclude that

$$\rho H - \left| 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right| \sqrt{H^2 - 1} \leq 1. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ be a spacelike immersion of a compact hypersurface M^n with constant mean curvature H whose boundary ∂M is a geodesic sphere of radius $\sqrt{2}$ into a horizontal hyperplane. Then*

$$|H| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my advisor Antonio Gervasio Colares for his guidance, and the referee for giving some valuable suggestions. This work was partially supported by CAPES, Brazil.

References

[1] K. Akutagawa, On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space, *Math. Z.* 196 (1987) 13–19.
 [2] L.J. Alías, A. Brasil Jr., A.G. Colares, Integral formulae for spacelike hypersurfaces in conformally stationary spacetimes and applications, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 46 (2003) 465–488.
 [3] L.J. Alías, J.M. Malacarne, Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature in Minkowski space–time, *J. Geom. Phys.* 41 (2002) 359–375.
 [4] L.J. Alías, J.A. Pastor, Constant mean curvature spacelike hypersurfaces with spherical boundary in the Lorentz–Minkowski space, *J. Geom. Phys.* 28 (1998) 85–93.
 [5] A.J. Goddard, Some remarks on the existence of spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 82 (1977) 489–495.
 [6] S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1973.
 [7] R. López, Area Monotonicity for spacelike surfaces with constant mean curvature, *J. Geom. Phys.* 52 (2004) 353–363.
 [8] S. Montiel, An integral inequality for compact spacelike hypersurfaces in de Sitter space and applications to the case of constant mean curvature, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 37 (1988) 909–917.
 [9] S. Montiel, Complete non-compact spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in de Sitter spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 55 (2003) 915–938.
 [10] S. Montiel, Uniqueness of spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in foliated spacetimes, *Math. Ann.* 314 (1999) 529–553.